



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,342	10/622,342 07/18/2003		Dinu Petre Madau	10541/1746	4808
29074	7590	07/06/2005		EXAMINER	
VISTEON			BEAULIEU, YONEL		
PO BOX 10		GILSON & LIONE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60610			3661		
				DATE MAILED: 07/06/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/622,342	MADAU, DINU PETRE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yonel Beaulieu	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 May 2005.					
	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) 12-18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a		Evaminor				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	-, ,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	√ □ · · · · ·	(DTO 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Maii					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Response to Amendment

The reply filed on 23 May 2003 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because: a set of "original" claims was filed on 18 July 2003. On 5 November 2004 a "new" set of claims was filed. In the 5 November 2004 (treated as an amendment), which succeeded the set filed 18 July 2003, claim 1 specifically did NOT include "a fifth signal generator..." An Office Action was sent addressing the later set filed claims. The "other" amendment filed 23 May 2005 attempts to recapture previously deleted subject matter (only claims 12 – 18 appear in their "true original" form). Since the period for reply set forth in the prior Office action has expired, this application will become abandoned unless applicant corrects the deficiency and obtains an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. In no case may an applicant reply outside the SIX (6) MONTH statutory period or obtain an extension for more than FIVE (5) MONTHS beyond the date for reply set forth in an Office action. A fully responsive reply must be timely filed to avoid abandonment of this application.

In view of the above, claims 1 - 11 are rejected. Claims 12 - 18 are given further consideration.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,342

Art Unit: 3661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 – 11 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Claim 1 (amendment filed 5/23/05 versus amendment filed 11/05/04) recites a "fifth signal generator" that is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). Accordingly, the claimed invention is subject to undue experimentation and the skilled artisan would not know how to make and/or use the invention. Claims 2 – 11 which depend upon claim 1 are necessarily rejected.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12 – 18 are allowed as argued by Applicant.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3661

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yonel Beaulieu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R, from 0900-1600.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas BLACK can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. BEAULIEU AU 3661